1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Nancy E. Hudgins, SBN 85222 Matthew M. Grigg, SBN 195951 Carol B. Ho, SBN 286972 LAW OFFICES OF NANCY E. HUDGINS 711 Van Ness Ave., Ste 450 San Francisco, CA, 94102 415-979-0100 mmg@hudginslaw.com Attorneys for Defendants County of Alameda R. Macintire	(sued as "Alameda County Sheriff Office") and Sgt.
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ARAGE BENJAMIN HAYES, Plaintiff, v. ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF OFFICE, et. al., Defendants.	Case No.: 14-CV-02408-JSC [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF ALAMEDA AND SGT. MACINTIRE'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION
18 19 20	Macintire filed and served an administrative motion requesting that the January 9, 2015 dispositive	
21	appropriate.	

Good cause appearing, Defendants' motion is granted and the court orders:

- 1. Defendants shall have until April 6, 2014 to file a summary judgment motion.
- 2. Plaintiff's opposition to the summary judgment motion, if any, shall be filed with the court and served upon Defendants no later than 28 days from the date of service of the motion. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE--WARNING" which is provided to him pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) and Klingele v. Eikenberry, a 49F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

Hayes v. Alameda County Sherriff, et al. Proposed Order

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case 3:14-cv-02408-CRB Document 17 Filed 12/10/14 Page 2 of 2

Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than 14 days after the date of service of the opposition. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court's orders at a later date. equeline Scatt only Dated: December 10, 2014 JAČQUĚLINE SCOTT CORLEÝ United States Magistrate Judge